

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

Dr. Sanjay Gupta¹, Dr. Ashutosh Shankhdhar²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Plastic Surgery, Patna Medical College Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

²M.Ch. 3rd year resident, Department of Plastic Surgery, Patna Medical College Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author : Dr. Ashutosh Shankhdhar : plasticsshankhdhar@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction There is no method of permanent ablation that is universally acknowledged, making the treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scar difficult. Conventional treatments result in variable outcomes, serious consequences, and expensive hardware. The goal was to determine whether combination of intralesional 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) with triamcinolone safe and effective for treating keloids.

Method This study took place at the Medical College between October 1, 2020 and September 30, 2021. 140 patients were involved, split into two groups. Triamcinolone acetonide (TAC) was administered intralesionally to the patients in group A, while 5-FU and TAC were given to the patients in group B. Patients were assessed at the beginning of the treatment, at the third and seventh weeks into the treatment, and then five weeks after the end of the treatment. A total of seven injections were administered at weekly intervals. The effectiveness, complications, and mean reduction in scar height for each patient were evaluated.

Result The study was completed by 100 patients in total. The mean scar height decrease in group B (5-FU+TAC) was 1.142 ± 0.4716 , which was noticeably better than the scar height reduction in group A (TAC alone) of 1.893 ± 1.0750 ($t=4.780$, $p=0.001$). Group B had greater efficacy (previously defined as $>51\%$ reduction in initial scar height) than group A, which had worse efficacy (49.1% , $X^2=9.261$, $p=0.001$). Recurrence was observed in 39.1% (19) of the group A patients while it was only observed in 17.4% (9) of the group B cases ($P=0.011$). A typical follow-up lasted 12 months.

Conclusion Problematic keloid scars can be treated safely, easily, and effectively with 5-FU+TAC, which also has a decreased recurrence rate when given a longer follow-up.

Keywords

1. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil
2. Keloid
3. Triamcinolone acetonide

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

Introduction

A common benign condition are keloids. [1,2] They come about as a result of burns, trauma, surgery, and infections such folliculitis and acne. [3] These scars are mostly made up of aberrant collagen deposition in the scar tissue and cause serious clinical issues such discomfort, itching, and a distorted appearance. [1,4] Darker skinned people are more likely to get these lesions, however the actual number varies between research and ranges from 4.5% to 16%. [5,6] Although there may be some hereditary and environmental influences, the precise aetiology is yet unknown. [3] There is a connection between scar tissue fibroblasts, which are expected to create more collagen even if the pathophysiology of these scars is yet unknown. [7,8] Therefore, a key strategy for treating this aberrant tissue response may involve the targeting of these fibroblasts.8 These diseases have also been linked to increased vascularity. [9] Despite the fact that keloids and hypertrophic scars are morphologically and immuno-histochemically distinct entities and that several treatment strategies have been proposed in various publications, [10-12] intralesional corticosteroids are still recommended in various studies. It reduces hypertrophic scars without causing contractures and stops fibroblasts from growing. Its use in treating keloids has been described as monotherapy, combined with surgery, [13] or as multimodal therapy. [14,15] Despite receiving much attention, there is currently no one treatment with a known outcome. Recurrence is frequently the outcome of conventional therapies. [16] Even though new scar treatments promise positive outcomes, they must first undergo high-quality clinical studies. [5] Additionally, the adverse effects of standard therapy, such as steroid injections, are frequent and important. [17] The ideal treatment in this situation would have fewer adverse effects, be inexpensive, and not require any devices to administer [5] Because this aberrant tissue is hypermetabolic, the adoption of antineoplastic drugs as a therapy option makes

sense. [18] Due to its antimetabolite activity, 5-FU has been shown to impact fibroblast growth in tissue cultures. [1] A tiny amount of TAC is added for therapeutic usage in these scars, which merely lessens the potential local problems that could arise from using pure 5-FU injection. [5] Contrary to intravenous usage, intralesional 5-FU is safe and does not exhibit systemic effects. [19-23]

The goal of this study is to raise the quality of the available evidence and demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of combined intralesional 5-FU+TAC for keloids and hypertrophic scars.

Method

This double-blind, parallel-group, randomized clinical investigation was carried out at Patna Medical College Hospital, Patna from October 1, 2020 and September 30, 2021, with patient recruitment occurring in the first five months after receiving approval from the hospital's ethical committee. The study comprised a total of 140 patients who were older than 11 years and had scars larger than 11 millimeters in largest dimensions. The study excluded patients who had received scar therapy during the previous five months, scars less than 11 mm, had a history of renal disease or had abnormal liver enzymes or a low white blood cell count.. After receiving counselling and ruling out pregnancy and breastfeeding, women of childbearing age were chosen.

The patients were randomly divided into two groups using a straightforward randomization process. The allocation schedule's owner was absent. The patients in groups A and B received intralesional Triamcinolone (TAC) alone and intralesional 5-FU with TAC, respectively, according to a computer-generated table of random numbers used for allocation. With 70% power of test, 6% level of significance, and the predicted percentage

The patients were randomly divided into two groups using a straightforward randomization

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

process. The allocation schedule's owner was absent. The patients in groups A and B received intralesional Triamcinolone (TAC) alone and intralesional 5-FU with TAC, respectively, according to a computer-generated table of random numbers used for allocation. [22] With 70% power of test, 6% level of significance, and the predicted percentage of skin atrophy, which is 11% in TAC and 1% in 5-FU+TAC group 1 (least among all) in patients with keloid and hypertrophic scars, a sample size of 140 cases was estimated, with 70 instances in each group. All of the patients were photographed prior to the start of treatment. The baseline Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management evaluation was carried out, and data were entered into the proforma.

To avoid further keloid formation, 2% xylocaine was injected into each group just deep to the lesion rather than through the surrounding skin. Patients in group A received 20mg of intralesional TAC weekly. Patients in group B received treatment with a once-weekly intralesional injection of 45 mg (0.9 ml) of 5-FU combined with 4mg (0.1 ml) of TAC total 1 ml. Maximum allowed dose was 3 ml. In case of larger lesions area was marked in which drug was injected and in adjoining areas drug was injected next week, so that we don't cross maximum limit of 5-FU injected. In each group, a total of 7 injections were administered at weekly intervals. All of the injecting solution was prepared by a certified theatrical assistant.

Effectiveness was defined as a 4-week reduction of greater than 51% in the initial height of keloids or hypertrophic scars. S.P.S.S. version 11 received the data from the proforma and entered it. Chi-square test was used in statistical analysis to assess the effectiveness and side effects in the two groups.

Result

100 patients in all completed the trial and were examined for the results (Table 1); 49 patients

belonged to group A, and 51 patients belonged to group B. Six of the Table 1 Baseline and eleventh-week scar heights were reduced on average P value Height at the start of treatment TAC Alone 493.5460.8731 T=0.833 TAC+FU 513.6640.5776 P= 0.405 Height at week 11 TAC Alone 491.8931.0750 T=4.780 TAC+FU 511.1430.4716 P=0.001

seven patients who missed follow-up belonged to group A. One patient from group A, two patients from group B owing to frequent visits, and two patients from group B due to skin ulceration all discontinued treatment.

In group A, the mean age was 31.21±12.558, while in group B, the mean age was 27.66±9.481. In group A, there were 1:1.317 men and 1:1.373 women. The majority of scars were located mostly in the pre-sternal or head and neck regions, particularly the ears, and were the consequence of trauma, piercing, or burns. Group B's (5-FU+TAC) mean scar height reduction was significantly superior than group A's (TAC alone) at 1.143+0.4716 (t=4.780, p=0.001) (Table 1).

Group B 43 had a higher efficacy (previously defined as >51% reduction in initial scar height) than group A, which was 49.1% (X²=9.261, p=0.001) (Table 2)

When the patient assessment scale was examined in the eleventh week, the results ranged from good to outstanding in 28 of the group A cases and 46 (82.4%) of the group B cases. (X²=11.348, p=.011). At the 10-week evaluation of the observer assessment scale, 25 (50%) of group A and 43 (77.1%) of group B had good to excellent responses. (X²=12.138, p=0.006). After a mean follow-up of 12 months, group A saw a higher recurrence rate for complications (39% vs. 17.4%).

The median interval between recurrences was 9 months (range 1–17 months). A statistically significant difference in recurrence was observed (P=0.011). The group A's overall complication rate

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

(n=17) was 35.1%, with skin atrophy (17.5%), telangiectasia (23.4%), and hypopigmentation (19.5%) among the complications. Skin ulcerations (8.7%), hyperpigmentation (5.2%),

and telangiectasia (3.4%) were only present in 14.1% (n=7) of individuals in group B. (P<0.04). In some cases, there were many complications.

Table 1 Baseline and eleventh-week scar heights were reduced on average

Criteria	Group of the patient	N	Mean	Std. deviation	T Test P value
Height at the start of treatment	TAC Alone	49	3.546	0.8731	T=0.833
	TAC+FU	51	3.664	0.5776	P= 0.405
Height at week 11	TAC Alone	49	1.893	1.0750	T=4.780
	TAC+FU	51	1.143	0.4716	P=0.001

Table 2 Statistical data showing efficacy

Efficacy	Group A TAC alone	Group B TAC +5-FU	Total	Chi-square value
Yes	24	43	67	X ² =9.261, p=0.001
	49.1%	77.1%		
No	25	8	33	
	51.1%	22.7%		

Discussion

These troubling scars are a result of aberrant skin healing and place a heavy cost on the healthcare system as well as the physical and mental health of the person who has them. Despite the fact that there are many treatment alternatives, there are still a discouragingly high percentage of treatment failures and recurrences. This highlights the requirement for an efficient treatment plan.

The standard therapy of steroid injections has produced a range of outcomes. TAC is the corticosteroid that is most frequently applied. The dosage and treatment interval can range from 10 to 40 mg/ml and are administered every two to four weeks. In several research, the effectiveness rate ranges from 50% to 100% . [24] Some patients find it unsatisfactory when their treatment results in skin shrinkage, pigmentation, or telangiectasias. [25,26] Because of these erratic outcomes and



Fig. 1 TAC ONLY CASES PRE AND POST OPERATIVE PICTURES



Fig. 2 TAC ONLY CASES PRE AND POST OPERATIVE PICTURES

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

serious side effects, researchers looked for the best course of action. It makes sense to utilise an antimetabolite as a therapy because it has been established that these scars are hypermetabolic.¹⁸ Histological studies have shown that fibroblasts are the cells that are hyperactive and put down an excessive amount of collagen. These fibroblasts regress in a dose- and time-dependent manner [27].

Fibroblasts are inhibited by the antimetabolite action of 5-FU. It reduced fibroblast activity in these scars when injected intralesionally once or twice a amount of TAC is administered to reduce the negative effects, although this is small In this trial, we combined 4 mg of TAC(0.1 ml) with 45 mg of 5-FU, which equals 0.9 ml. Maximum of 3 ml of combination was injected in one sitting.



Fig. 3 COCKTAIL (5FU+TAC) REGIMEN PRE AND POST INSTILLATION

Amount of TAC is not anticipated to have any effectiveness.^{5,30} With a quicker clinical response and low side effects, this combination is beneficial.^{1,28} Fitzpatrick²⁸ was the first to describe this combination. He discussed his nine years of experience injecting more than 5000 patients with 5-FU+TAC. He combined TAC and 5-FU and discovered that this combination is efficient with minimal administration discomfort. Depending on the reaction, he injected 5–10 times. But he did not contrast his outcomes with conventional medicine.

A study from Greece by George Kontochristopoulos et al. was published in 2005.³¹ The total number of instances were twenty. 85% of the patients had scars that had

improved by more than 50%. The failure rate with recurrence was 45%. In 30% of their cases, negative consequences such skin ulceration were observed. Darougheh et al.¹ compared patients receiving 5-FU and TAC along with those receiving TAC alone. At a 12-week follow-up, the success rate was 20% for patients in the TAC group and 55% for individuals receiving combination therapy . Although the follow-up was brief, the outcomes in both therapy groups demonstrated improvement.



Fig. 4 COCKTAIL (5FU+TAC) REGIMEN PRE AND POST INSTILLATION

Although in our study the TAC group showed a 50% improvement on the observer assessment scar scale. At a mean follow-up of 12 months, 35.1% of our study participants experienced unfavourable sequelae, and 39.1% experienced recurrence, which is a significant and unsatisfactory rate for the participants. With intralesional TAC, Manuskiatti and Fitzpatrick⁸ demonstrated comparable results. The 5-FU+TAC group's outcomes in our study are comparable to those of earlier investigations.^{27,28} Nanda and Reddy demonstrated that 80% of the cases had improvements of greater than 50%.²⁴



Fig. 5 ULCERATION POST COCKTAIL REGIMEN

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

In 77.1% of the instances in our study, there was a scar reduction of more than 51%. Only 8.7% of patients experienced problems such as ulceration, which was more common in patients with ear keloids, firm to hard scars, and superficial infiltration. Hyperpigmentation and telangiectasias were observed in 5.2% and 3.4% of instances, respectively. The recurrence rate was 17.4% after a mean follow-up of 12 months. 50-94% of recurrences were observed one year after therapy in several studies.^{23,32-35} The mean period between recurrences in our study was 9 months (range 1–17 months). In terms of scar height reduction, a recent meta-analysis of several RCTs ^{1,8,36,37} revealed that intralesional 5-FU and TAC performed better than the TAC alone.³⁸ In a research by Khan et al, ³⁷ 84% of the 5-FU+TAC group showed good to outstanding response, but only 68% of the TAC alone group did. Since there was little follow-up for this trial, the recurrence rate was not discussed. Additionally, just the overall complications were given, not the frequency of complications in each group.

These findings demonstrate statistical significance ($P < 0.04$) and demonstrate that the side effects of combination therapy are less severe than those of corticosteroids alone. The systemic use of 5-FU can disrupt blood cell lines, however, at the end of our study, there were no such severe adverse effects. The use of greater dosages has been described as safe in the literature [28,29] even though the intralesional dose did not go over the recommended dose of 5-FU at each injection session.

Conclusion

This research has unequivocally demonstrated that combining 5FU with TAC yields in results that are both much better and more long-lasting than prednisone alone in lowering the symptoms and appearance of these scars.

Reference

1. Darougheh A, Asilian A, Shariati F. Intralesional triamcinolone alone or in combination with 5-fluorouracil for the treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scars. *Clinical and experimental dermatology*. 2009 Mar 1;34(2):219-23.
2. Camacho-Martínez FM, Rey ER, Serrano FC, Wagner A. Results of a combination of bleomycin and triamcinolone acetonide in the treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars. *Anais brasileiros de dermatologia*. 2013;88:387-94.
3. Robles DT, Berg D. Abnormal wound healing: keloids. *Clinics in dermatology*. 2007 Jan 1;25(1):26-32.
4. Kakar AK, Shahzad M, Haroon TS. Keloids: clinical features and management. Part I. *Journal of Pakistan Association of Dermatologists*. 2006;16(2):97-103.
5. Davison SP, Dayan JH, Clemens MW, Sonni S, Wang A, Crane A. Efficacy of intralesional 5-fluorouracil and triamcinolone in the treatment of keloids. *Aesthetic surgery journal*. 2009 Jan 1;29(1):40-6.
6. Muneuchi G, Suzuki S, Onodera M, Ito O, Hata Y, Igawa HH. Long-term outcome of intralesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide for the treatment of keloid scars in Asian patients. *Scandinavian journal of plastic and reconstructive surgery and hand surgery*. 2006 Jan 1;40(2):111-6.
7. Ahmed MU, Usman A, Akhter MR, Ajmal M, Khan W, Baig M. Metal splints for ear keloids recurrence; experience in 10 cases. *Rawal Med J*. 2007 Jan 1;32:197-8.
8. Manuskiatti W, Fitzpatrick RE. Treatment response of keloidal and hypertrophic sternotomy scars: comparison among intralesional corticosteroid, 5-fluorouracil, and

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

- 585-nm flashlamp-pumped pulsed-dye laser treatments. *Archives of dermatology*. 2002 Sep 1;138(9):1149-55.
9. Chan HH, Wong DS, Ho WS, Lam LK, Wei W. The use of pulsed dye laser for the prevention and treatment of hypertrophic scars in Chinese persons. *Dermatologic surgery*. 2004 Jul;30(7):987-94.
 10. Atiyeh BS, Costagliola M, Hayek SN. Keloid or hypertrophic scar: the controversy: review of the literature. *Annals of plastic surgery*. 2005 Jun 1;54(6):676-80.
 11. Chodon T, Sugihara T, Igawa HH, Funayama E, Furukawa H. Keloid-derived fibroblasts are refractory to Fas-mediated apoptosis and neutralization of autocrine transforming growth factor- β 1 can abrogate this resistance. *The American journal of pathology*. 2000 Nov 1;157(5):1661-9.
 12. Ehrlich HP, Desmoulière A, Diegelmann RF, Cohen IK, Compton CC, Garner WL, Kapanci Y, Gabbiani G. Morphological and immunochemical differences between keloid and hypertrophic scar. *The American journal of pathology*. 1994 Jul;145(1):105.
 13. Kontochristopoulos G, Stefanaki C, Panagiotopoulos A, Stefanaki K, Argyrakos T, Petridis A, Katsambas A. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of keloids: an open clinical and histopathologic study. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2005 Mar 1;52(3):474-9.
 14. Ogawa R. The most current algorithms for the treatment and prevention of hypertrophic scars and keloids. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2010 Feb 1;125(2):557-68.
 15. Roques C, Téot L. The use of corticosteroids to treat keloids: a review. *The international journal of lower extremity wounds*. 2008 Sep;7(3):137-45..
 16. Kontochristopoulos G, Stefanaki C, Panagiotopoulos A, Stefanaki K, Argyrakos T, Petridis A, Katsambas A. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of keloids: an open clinical and histopathologic study. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2005 Mar 1;52(3):474-9.
 17. Asilian A, Darougheh A, Shariati F. New combination of triamcinolone, 5-fluorouracil, and pulsed-dye laser for treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scars. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2006 Jul;32(7):907-15..
 18. Fujiwara M, Muragaki Y, Ooshima A. Keloid-derived fibroblasts show increased secretion of factors involved in collagen turnover and depend on matrix metalloproteinase for migration. *British journal of dermatology*. 2005 Aug 1;153(2):295-300..
 19. Yang GP, Longaker MT. Invited Discussion: 5-Fluorouracil Treatment of Problematic Scars. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2009 Jan;123(1):139.
 20. Durani P, Bayat A. Levels of evidence for the treatment of keloid disease. *Journal of plastic, reconstructive & aesthetic surgery*. 2008 Jan 1;61(1):4-17..
 21. Villafañe JH, Silva GB, Fernandez-Carnero J. Effect of thumb joint mobilization on pressure pain threshold in elderly patients with thumb carpometacarpal osteoarthritis. *Journal of manipulative and physiological therapeutics*. 2012 Feb 1;35(2):110-20.
 22. Carvajal CC, Ibarra CM, Arbulo DL, Russo MN, Solé CP. Postoperative radiotherapy in the management of keloids. *ecancermedicalscience*. 2016;10.
 23. Nanda S, Reddy BS. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil as a treatment modality of keloids. *Dermatologic surgery*. 2004 Jan;30(1):54-7.

Efficacy of Weekly Cocktail Regimen (5FU with Triamcinolone) Versus Triamcinolone Alone for Keloid Management

24. KETCHUM LD, SMITH J, ROBINSON DW, MASTERS FW. The treatment of hypertrophic scar, keloid and scar contracture by triamcinolone acetonide. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 1966 Sep 1;38(3):209-18.
25. Friedman SJ, Butler DF, Pittelkow MR. Perilesional linear atrophy and hypopigmentation after intralesional corticosteroid therapy: Report of two cases and review of the literature. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 1988 Sep 1;19(3):537-41.
26. Uppal RS, Khan U, Kakar S, Talas G, Chapman P, McGrouther AD. The effects of a single dose of 5-fluorouracil on keloid scars: a clinical trial of timed wound irrigation after extralesional excision. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2001 Oct 1;108(5):1218-24.
27. Fitzpatrick RE. Treatment of inflamed hypertrophic scars using intralesional 5-FU. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 1999 Mar;25(3):224-32.
28. Gupta S, Kalra A. Efficacy and safety of intralesional 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of keloids. *Dermatology*. 2002 Jul 1;204(2):130-2.
29. Apikian M, Goodman G. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of keloid scars. *Australasian journal of dermatology*. 2004 May;45(2):140-3.
30. Kontochristopoulos G, Stefanaki C, Panagiotopoulos A, Stefanaki K, Argyrakos T, Petridis A, Katsambas A. Intralesional 5-fluorouracil in the treatment of keloids: an open clinical and histopathologic study. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2005 Mar 1;52(3):474-9.
31. Lee SY, Park J. Postoperative electron beam radiotherapy for keloids: treatment outcome and factors associated with occurrence and recurrence. *Annals of dermatology*. 2015 Feb 1;27(1):53-8.
32. Lee JY, Yang CC, Chao SC, Wong TW. Histopathological differential diagnosis of keloid and hypertrophic scar. *The American journal of dermatopathology*. 2004 Oct 1;26(5):379-84.
33. Clark JA, Turner ML, Howard L, Stanescu H, Kleta R, Kopp JB. Description of familial keloids in five pedigrees: evidence for autosomal dominant inheritance and phenotypic heterogeneity. *BMC dermatology*. 2009 Dec;9:1-9.
34. Ogawa R, Mitsuhashi K, Hyakusoku H, Miyashita T. Postoperative electron-beam irradiation therapy for keloids and hypertrophic scars: retrospective study of 147 cases followed for more than 18 months. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2003 Feb 1;111(2):547-53.
35. Asilian A, Darougheh A, Shariati F. New combination of triamcinolone, 5-fluorouracil, and pulsed dye laser for treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scars. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2006 Jul;32(7):907-15.
36. Khan MA, Bashir MM, Khan FA. Intralesional triamcinolone alone and in combination with 5-fluorouracil for the treatment of keloid and hypertrophic scars. *JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*. 2014 Sep 1;64(9):1003-7.
37. Ren Y, Zhou X, Wei Z, Lin W, Fan B, Feng S. Efficacy and safety of triamcinolone acetonide alone and in combination with 5-fluorouracil for treating hypertrophic scars and keloids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International wound journal*. 2017 Jun;14(3):480-7.