

Representation of Women in 'The Trial'

Vaishnavi¹, Rosy Singh²

¹Department of English, School of Humanities, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, India

²Centre of German Studies, School of Language, Literature and Culture Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

ARTICLE INFO

DOI:

10.61081/vjr/16v1i109

Correspondence

Vaishnavi Pathak
krishnakant.
vaishnavi2001@
gmail.com

Keywords

The Trial, Franz Kafka,
Psychoanalytic
criticism,
representation
of women, Freud,
Existential Psychology,
Alienation, Autonomy

How to cite:

Vaishnavi,
Singh R. (2026).
Representation of
Women in 'The Trial'.
Vivekananda Journal
of Research,
16(1), 83-86

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the marginal yet recurring presence of women in Kafka's 'The Trial.' The paper's original contribution lies in representing the women in the novel as mirror of Josef K's psychological trajectory instead of autonomous individuals. His dismissive and patronizing attitude with Frau Grubach is reflective of his sense of superiority, confused intimacy with Fraulein Burstner is reflective of sense of control, inability to help the washerwoman reflects his own powerlessness and finally his surrender to Leni parallels his surrender to the court. It also explores the Lacan's concept of fragmented self, desire and gaze, Freud's concept of repression, guilt and unconscious desire in the context of the novel. Existential Psychology of alienation and anxiety is presented through the protagonist's encounters with the women in the novel. Kafka has carved women into psychological landscape to map the erosion of Josef K.'s autonomy.

INTRODUCTION

Kafka, in "The Trial" shows the nightmarish legal system which causes the psychological decline of the protagonist Josef K. in the form of guilt, anxiety and mainly alienation, which could arise from his own personal experiences. Kafka was born to middle class Jewish parents. He was a Czech in Austro-Hungarian Empire and among Czech, he was a German speaker, a Jew among German speakers and a disbeliever among Jews, further throughout his childhood he had bitter relationship with his father which magnified his sense of alienation. The novel kicks off with an affirmation that is basic as well as psychologically revealing, Josef K. has been arrested "without having done anything wrong". Critics have debated for a long period whether this assertion should be studied literally, ironically or symbolically. When read from a psychological lens, however, the significance of this statement does not lie in its factual accuracy but the way it is used as a defense. Josef K. has defined himself through firm conviction, reasonability, and a prestigious social position. As the court case drags on, though, the foundation of his self is dismantled.

© Authors 2026. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows users to download and share the article for non-commercial purposes, so long as the article is reproduced in the whole without changes, and the original authorship is acknowledged. If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. If your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Most studies put focus on the role of the court in being an external system of oppression, Kafka time and again places crucial moments of psychological revelation in Josef K.'s marginal yet recurring encounters with women. These encounters do not take place in formal legal settings but in private spaces like boarding houses, bedrooms, corridors, and marginal offices. This structural selection points in the direction that Kafka is more interested in deterioration of Josef K.'s inner coherence and not in the legal procedures.

Erosion of Josef K.'s inner coherence can be understood better by the useful framework of Psychoanalytic theory. Repression, displacement, and defense mechanisms are visible in Josef K.'s behavior, which is emphasized in Freud's model of the psyche. Josef K.'s tendency to objectify women as emotional resources rather than moral people is put to light by feminist criticism, which also reveals how gendered power relations mediate his psychiatric crisis. Finally, existential theory contributes to the explanation of Josef K.'s inability to recognize himself even in the face of his condition's reflections.

Frau grubach: denial and superego

Frau Grubach is Josef K.'s landlady. She appears early in the novel and occupies a position of domestic authority and moral awareness. Despite not being a member of the court, her reaction to Josef K.'s arrest is naturally grave. There is also a hint of strategy of psychological minimalization when Josef K. insists that the event is "nothing more than a misunderstanding". "nothing" serves as absolutely negative for the purpose of neutralizing threats and maintaining ego stability.

From a psychoanalytic standpoint, Frau Grubach serves as a projection of the superego, a social conscience voice that Josef K. opposes. Although she does not blame him, Josef K. is looking for clarification and her worry creates uncertainty. His disregard for moral ambiguity is evident in his disdain towards her. He displaces fear with logical explanation instead of facing it head on.

The gendered aspect of this relationship is highlighted by feminist criticism. Josef K. is able to discount Frau Grubach's insight as sentimental or exaggerated because she is an elderly woman

in a household role. Her marginalization reflects the novel's overall trend of devaluing women's moral perception. However, by associating moral seriousness with Frau Grubach rather than Josef K., Kafka subtly subverts his superiority. She represents the moral seriousness of the circumstance, which Josef K. is unwilling to acknowledge.

Fraulein burstner: confused intimacy and ego breakdown

A change from denial to psychological instability is signaled by Josef K.'s encounter with Fraulein Burstner. His compulsive needs to tell the story of his arrest drives him to break into her room late at night. Once used as a weapon of control, language today became obsessive. Josef K.'s rambling and Fraulein Burstner's reserved reactions highlight the encounter's imbalance, according to Kafka.

Josef K. crosses the boundaries in the moment when he "suddenly seized her and kissed her on the mouth". The expression 'suddenly' emphasizes impulse rather than intention suggesting that ego is no longer able to control the behavior. Through the lens of psychoanalysis, this act can be interpreted as displacement. Josef K. uses physical dominance over others as a way to channel his anxieties about power.

From a feminist perspective, the kiss is not an act of intimacy but violation of boundaries. The kiss was an attempt to regain power through sexual dominance by using Fraulein Burstner momentarily to assert control. Her shock mirrors the loss of balance in Josef K.'s psyche. It is evident from her reaction that what Josef thought was urgent and necessary was an irrational intrusion.

This encounter reflects the very first fracture in Josef K.'s self-perception. He is now driven by compulsion and is no longer confidently detached. Fraulein Burstner is not judging him; she is simply reflecting the instability that he cannot see in himself as of now.

Women, speech and communication breakdowns

Continual Failed communication with women signals Josef K.'s psychological collapse. He insists on explanation and narration of events to make sense of them, but there is never an understanding.

This failure of communication is existential as well as psychological. In his attempt to secure meaning through speech, Josef K. only manages to deepen the feeling of alienation.

According to Existential critics the characters in Kafka's novel speak to defend themselves against silence and not to communicate with each other. Masini, an Italian Kafka critic analyses Kafka's *language and communication* as paradoxical and elusive; for him, *language can be a "bridge" but also a labyrinth*, reflecting the human struggle to apprehend meaning, where speech often fails or collapses into silence and ambiguity. This pattern is also revealed by Josef K.'s conversation with women. Every conversation gives the feeling of him speaking at them rather than speaking to them. He does not use language as a bridge but a shield to defend himself. The responses of the women in this case then turns out be silent, discomfort or ambiguity and these responses reflects the limits of Josef K.'s rational worldview.

Leni: Erotic illusion and dependency

A vital stage in Josef K.'s collapse is represented through his encounter with Leni. His transition from assertiveness to dependency is clearly evident here. She is distinct from earlier women in the novel as she is directly connected to the court but still fails to offer access to logic. On learning that she is "attracted to men who are under accusation," his attachment with her deepens when this realization should have provoked suspicion.

From a psychoanalytical lens, the role of Leni is reduced to a regression object who provides physical comfort without intellectual autonomy. Emotional refuge takes the place of pursuit of making sense of events around him. Josef K.'s relationship with Leni is a reflection of his crumbling strength and ego. His insight no longer leads to action.

This interaction is complicated by feminist criticism, which reveals mutual objectification. Josef K. gives in to Leni's sensuality while also using her as a source of comfort. As he grows reliant on illusion rather than clarity, his identity as a logical, self-reliant man is undermined. Leni reflects this deterioration: she is intimate but opaque, close to power but powerless.

The washerwoman: Ethical disintegration

The encounters with the washerwoman in the court offices represent the most explicit intersection of sexuality, power, and exploitation. She claims that she "belonged to the court". Her claim indicates systemic ownership of the body. The language of belonging implies loss of agency and institutional dominance.

Instead of reasoning with her exploitation, Josef K. views her through desire. This response exposes the final stage of his psychological collapse. Josef K. is emotionally numb at this point, using this numbness as a defense against overwhelming injustice. He is unable to recognize others as ethical, individual individuals anymore, only as surfaces for projection.

This encounter signals Josef K.'s moral failure. Earlier in the novel he refused to feel guilty and now he refuses to feel humanity. The washerwoman is a representation of the world's injustice is normalized, and Josef K.'s internal defeat is confirmed by inability to respond ethically.

CONCLUSION

The novel has an existential framework, and it is clarified when the priest asserts that "The court wants nothing from you." Authority is a framework that people internalize, it doesn't actively punish. Women in 'The Trial' has a similar role, they are reflection of Josef K.'s internal collapse.

Every woman is representation of the psychological stage that Josef K. is in. Frau Grubach represents denial, Fraulein Burstner instability, Leni dependency and the washerwoman moral numbness. Josef K. refuses to recognize these reflections as self-revelations and that ends tragically for him. He keeps on misreading these encounters as opportunities for reassurance, dominance, or escape.

According to this analysis, rather than being the reason behind Josef K.'s demise, women in 'The Trial' serve as mirrors of his psychological disintegration. It is evident from existential, feminist and psychoanalytic perspectives that Kafka externalizes interior breakdown through female characters. Josef K.'s trial takes place mostly in private human

interactions rather than in courtrooms, where his defenses progressively crumble.

Josef K. has already lost himself by the time the judicial proceedings are over. The execution just serves to codify a defeat that has been evident in his relationships with women for a long time. Thus, Kafka's book shows that the most terrible judgment is carried out by the self's refusal to acknowledge its own position rather than being imposed by authority.

REFERENCES

1. Kafka, Franz. *The Trial*. Trans. Willa and Edwin Muir. New York: Schocken, 1992
2. Freud, Sigmund, 1856-1939. *The Ego and the Id*. New York, Norton, 1962/1960.
3. Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. *Vintage Classics*, 2015.
4. gastios, christos (2024) *Reading Kafka's "The Trial" through Psychoanalytic Lenses*, [www.medium.com](https://fgnkbzqmv.medium.com/reading-kafkas-the-trial-through-psychoanalytic-lenses-055c72a699e5). Available at: <https://fgnkbzqmv.medium.com/reading-kafkas-the-trial-through-psychoanalytic-lenses-055c72a699e5>.
5. Ghosh, B. (2024) 'Absurdity of law and order: An existentialist reading of Franz Kafka's the trial', *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, 9(4), pp. 150-157. doi:10.22161/ijels.94.24.
6. Kashyap, T. (2023) 'An approach to psychosis: A psychoanalytical reading of Franz Kafka's the trial', *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, 8(6), pp. 258-261. doi:10.22161/ijels.86.39.
7. Lonergan, M.D. (2018) *The surrealism of men's rights discourses on sexual assault allegations: A feminist reading of Kafka's the trial*, *Atlantis: Critical Studies in Gender, Culture & Social Justice*.
8. Thacker, Rebecca L. (2020). *Kafka's The Trial, Psychoanalysis, and the Administered Society*. *International Journal of Žižek Studies* 14 (1)..
9. Siegel, E. (1996). *Franz Kafka's The Trial: Guilty or Innocent?* *The Psychoanalytic Quarterly*, 65(3), 561-590.